

The COBRA strikes...with a lot of venom

Announcement Highlights

Clarity have released results from their Phase II COBRA trial, evaluating their ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA PET agent in prostate cancer (PCa) patients with suspected Biochemical Recurrence (BCR). There were three key takeaways from the top-line result that investors should be cognisant of; 1) ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA is able to detect BCR PCa with high sensitivity at a delayed timepoint (24-hours post) revealing further lesions (not feasible with other PSMA PET agents), consistent with data demonstrated in PROPELLER trial; 2) sensitivity of ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA creates new questions in regards to the current standard of truth (SoT) reference— that being biopsy and existing approved PSMA PET agents; and 3) the path to market for ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA could look different to our initial expectations with delayed scanning in BCR patients potentially an initial niche for them to target in this indication (still paired with initial staging as per previous expectations, currently being assessed in the CLARIFY trial).

Wilsons' View

Initial analysis

COBRA trial design. The [COBRA trial](#) recruited 52 participants with suspected BCR based on rising PSA post definitive therapy (radical prostatectomy, radiation therapy/brachytherapy /cryotherapy) with a negative or equivocal finding on conventional imaging. Participants received a single 200MBq dose of ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA and were evaluated at two timepoints (1-4hrs post injection and 24 hours post injection). In addition to safety, primary efficacy endpoints were patient-level correct detection rate (CDR, defined as the proportion of true positive participants out of all scanned participants) and region-level positive predictive value (PPV, defined as the proportion of true positive regions out of all positive regions on the ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA PET scan with corresponding evaluable SoT).

Detection rate of ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA comparison difficult vs SOC. The detection rate in the COBRA trial was premised on the proportion of true positive participants on Day 0 and Day 1 post-scan ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA (^{64}Cu). After patients were scanned with ^{64}Cu , the trial was designed to assess true positive detection rate via SoT comparison (composite of SOC imaging which includes PSMA scans, PSA levels and biopsy where possible/appropriate). As ^{64}Cu identified a large number of lesions that were not visible with SOC PSMA scans (^{18}F , ^{68}Ga agents) (60% and 80% on Day 1 and 2 respectively), which was not expected, it was not medically ethical to verify all of these lesions with biopsy. As such, and as per pre-specified protocol, if a lesion identified on the ^{64}Cu scan was not biopsied and it was also not present on follow-up SOC imaging, it was considered as false positive in the analysis by default. Clarity have set themselves an exceedingly tough bar.

Primary outcome measures. Safety: overall, there were minimal safety issues; a single resolved Grade 2 AE only. **Efficacy.** The CDR range across the central readers on Day 0 was 21.4–28.6%, increasing to 28.6–38.1% on Day 1. The region-level PPV on Day 0 range was 39.1–44.8% and on Day 1 was 32.7–43.3%. The specificity of PC detection in the pelvic lymph nodes, a common site of PCa metastases, was high across all readers on both days (Day 0: 93.8–96.9%; Day 1: 81.3–87.9%). The CDR and PPV, in particular were impacted by the higher than expected lesion detection rate, whereby the ^{64}Cu scans were classified as false positives (unless a biopsy was available). As a reminder, comparison to PYLARIFY's CONDOR and POSLUMA's SPOTLIGHT trial are difficult here, given the SOC imaging utilised was CT, MRI and bone scintigraphy (alongside histopathology). Given these confounding elements the low CDR and PPVs are easily misinterpreted (noting POSLUMA CDR was 51-54%, and PPV was 46-60%, with PPV failing to meet pre-specified threshold), however highlight the challenges that Clarity may face in selecting a SoT benchmark.

What does all of this mean? The absolute degree of difference in lesion detection by current SOC and ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA is unexpected, however the Day 1 delayed scan ability and higher uptake of Clarity's agent into lesions do provide points of differentiation. The challenge that uniquely faces Clarity is how to definitively prove true positives when there is a new SOC scan involved (that was absent in the prior trials to support PYLARIFY, ILLUCCIX etc) with an agent apparently superseding SoT specificity (noting the negative biopsy – true positive lesion example provided).

Wilsons Advisory Equity Research

Analyst(s) who owns shares in the Company: n/a Issued by Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited (Wilsons Advisory) ABN 68 010 529 665 – Australian Financial Services Licence No 238375, a participant of ASX Group and should be read in conjunction with the disclosures and disclaimer in this report. Important disclosures regarding companies that are subject of this report and an explanation of recommendations can be found at the end of this document.

Recommendation

OVERWEIGHT

Dr Melissa Benson

melissa.benson@wilsonsadvisory.com.au

Tel. +61 2 8247 6639

Dr Shane Storey

shane.storey@wilsonsadvisory.com.au

Tel. +61 7 3212 1351

Madeleine Williams

madeleine.williams@wilsonsadvisory.com.au

Tel. +61 3 9640 3834

Earnings implications

Forecasts under review. Results from the COBRA trial pose a different pathway to market from previous expectations with regards to BCR indication (expectations were that they would follow LNTH, TLX etc.). Given the insights provided from the results today, and the anticipated changes in Phase III trial design, further analysis of the potential for Clarity to receive a) accelerated approval, b) more selective initial target BCR patient population (aka those with unmet need; rising PSA levels, Day 1 imaging priority), c) elongated trial/ commercialisation timeframes (for broader BCR patient population), and d) a mixture of the above, needs to be conducted. Noting that we do not expect this to deleteriously impact CU6's overall opportunity, and remain bullish on prospects with all of their pipeline agents including ^{64}Cu -SAR-bisPSMA, noting this does not impact the pathway for the initial staging indication for the agent (CLARIFY trial underway now).

Investment view

Maintain OVERWEIGHT. Our last published risked PT for Clarity Pharmaceuticals was \$2.78/sh which is under review.

Disclaimers and Disclosures

Recommendation structure and other definitions

Definitions at wilsonsadvisory.com.au/disclosures.

Analyst certification

Each analyst of Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited (ACN 010 529 665: AFSL 238375) ("Wilsons Advisory") whose name appears in this research certifies that (1) the recommendations and opinions expressed in this research accurately reflect the analyst's personal, independent and objective views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers; (2) no part of the analyst's compensation was, is, or will be, directly or indirectly, related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the analyst in the research; and (3) to the best of the analyst's knowledge, he/she is not in receipt of material non-public information about the issuer.

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Wilsons Advisory. This communication is not to be disclosed in whole or part or used by any other party without Wilsons Advisory's prior written consent. All material presented in this document, unless specifically indicated otherwise, is under copyright to Wilsons Advisory. None of the material, its content, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, transmitted to, copied or distributed to any other party, without the prior express written permission of Wilsons Advisory. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Wilsons Advisory to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This document is being supplied to you solely for your information and no action should be taken on the basis of or in reliance on this document. To the extent that any information prepared by Wilsons Advisory contains any financial product advice, it is general advice only and has been prepared by Wilsons Advisory without reference to your objectives, financial situation or needs. You should consider the appropriateness of the advice in light of your own objectives, financial situation and needs before following or relying on the advice. You should also obtain a copy of, and consider, any relevant disclosure document before making any decision to acquire a financial product. Please refer to Wilsons Advisory's Financial Services Guide for more information: wilsonsadvisory.com.au/disclosures. Any person, before acting on any advice contained within this communication, should first consult with a Wilsons Advisory investment adviser to assess whether the advice within this communication is appropriate for their objectives, financial situation and needs. Those acting upon such information without advice do so entirely at their own risk.

This document provided by Wilsons Advisory is current as at the date of the issue but may be superseded by future publications. Wilsons Advisory assumes no obligation to update the information or advise on further developments relating to the company or companies covered in this document ("Companies") or relevant financial products. Wilsons Advisory has not independently verified all of the information given in this document which is provided at a point in time and may not contain all necessary information about the Companies. Wilsons Advisory makes no warranty, express or implied, concerning any information prepared by Wilsons Advisory. Wilsons Advisory expressly disclaims (1) any implied warranty of merchantability or (2) fitness for a particular purpose, including any warranty for the use or the results of the use of any information prepared by Wilsons Advisory with respect to their correctness, quality, accuracy, completeness, reliability, performance, timeliness, or continued availability. Wilsons Advisory's research content should be viewed as an additional investment resource, not as your sole source of information. To the fullest extent permitted by law Wilsons Advisory, its related bodies corporate and their respective officers, directors, employees or agents, disclaim any and all liabilities for any loss or damage howsoever arising in connection with the use of this document or its contents. Past performance does not necessarily indicate a financial product's likely future performance.

This document may contain "forward-looking statements". Forward-looking statements, opinions and estimates provided in this document are based on assumptions and contingencies which are outside the control of Wilsons Advisory and are subject to change without notice (including but not limited to economic conditions, market volatility and company-specific fundamentals), and therefore may not be realised in the future.

This report does not constitute an offer or invitation to purchase any securities and should not be relied upon in connection with any contract or commitment whatsoever.

Disclaimers and Disclosures

Regulatory disclosure

Wilsons Advisory restricts research analysts from trading in securities for which they write research. Other Wilsons Advisory employees may hold interests in the company, but none of those interests are material. Wilsons Advisory further advises that at the date of this report, neither Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited or Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited have any material interests in the company. This report is part of the ASX Equity Research Scheme FY24 whereby a fee has been paid to Wilsons by ASX Operations Pty Limited (ASX) for the publication of research on Clarity Pharmaceuticals (Company, Clarity). Wilsons maintains that the independence of the research written in this report remains intrinsic to the research published and the ASX is not involved in the compilation of this report. All prescribed ratings and target prices in the report have been influenced by neither the ASX, nor the Company. The research analyst compiling this research has not been directly compensated by the ASX in the production of this research and the analyst attests to the objectivity and independence of the research written.

Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited may have a conflict of interest which investors should consider before making an investment decision. Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited, Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited and its related bodies corporate trades or may trade as principal in the securities that are subject of the research report. Wilsons Advisory further advises that at the date of this report, neither Wilsons Advisory and Stockbroking Limited or Wilsons Corporate Finance Limited have any material interests in the company. Wilsons Advisory restricts research analysts from trading in securities for which they write research. Other Wilsons Advisory employees may hold interests in the company, but none of those interests are material.

Wilsons Advisory contact

For more information please phone: 1300 655 015 or email: publications@wilsonsadvisory.com.au